

Financial Econometrics
MT Week 5 Assignment Answers
November 26, 2009

2.4 Let $\hat{\mathbf{S}}$ be the sample covariance matrix of $\mathbf{z} = [\mathbf{y} \ \mathbf{X}]$, where \mathbf{X} *does not include a constant*

$$\hat{\mathbf{S}} = n^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^n (\mathbf{z}_i - \bar{\mathbf{z}})' (\mathbf{z}_i - \bar{\mathbf{z}})$$

$$\hat{\mathbf{S}} = \begin{bmatrix} \hat{s}_{yy} & \hat{\mathbf{s}}'_{xy} \\ \hat{\mathbf{s}}_{xy} & \hat{\mathbf{S}}_{xx} \end{bmatrix}$$

and suppose n , the sample size, is known ($\hat{\mathbf{S}}$ is the sample covariance estimator). Under the small sample assumptions (including homoskedasticity and normality if needed), describe one method, using only $\hat{\mathbf{S}}$, $\bar{\mathbf{X}}$ (the 1 by $k - 1$ sample mean of the matrix \mathbf{X} , column-by-column), \bar{y} and n , to

(a) Estimate $\hat{\beta}_1, \dots, \hat{\beta}_k$ from a model

$$y_i = \beta_1 + \beta_2 x_{2,i} + \dots + \beta_k x_{k,i} + \epsilon_i$$

Since the model includes a constant, we know that $\sum_{i=1}^n \epsilon_i = 0$ since it is one of the first order conditions. This then implies that $\sum_{i=1}^n y_i - \hat{\beta}_1 - \hat{\beta}_2 x_{2,i} - \dots - \hat{\beta}_k x_{k,i} = 0$ and so must $\bar{y} - \hat{\beta}_1 - \hat{\beta}_2 \bar{x}_1 - \dots - \hat{\beta}_k \bar{x}_k = 0$, which is the first sum divided by n . Putting this together with the model evaluated at the OLS parameter estimates, we can conclude that

$$y_i - \bar{y} = \hat{\beta}_2 (x_{2,i} - \bar{x}_2) + \dots + \hat{\beta}_k (x_{k,i} - \bar{x}_k) + \hat{\epsilon}_i$$

This tells us that regression on demeaned data (known as regression through the origin) must have the same slope coefficients (β_2, \dots, β_k) and an intercept of zero. Thus, when using demeaned data,

$$\tilde{\boldsymbol{\beta}} = (\tilde{\mathbf{X}}' \tilde{\mathbf{X}})^{-1} \tilde{\mathbf{X}}' \tilde{\mathbf{y}} = \left(\frac{\tilde{\mathbf{X}}' \tilde{\mathbf{X}}}{n} \right)^{-1} \frac{\tilde{\mathbf{X}}' \tilde{\mathbf{y}}}{n} = \hat{\mathbf{S}}_{xx}^{-1} \hat{\mathbf{s}}_{xy}$$

where $\tilde{\mathbf{X}} = \mathbf{X} - \mathbf{1}\bar{\mathbf{X}}$ is the demeaned matrix of x data and $\tilde{\mathbf{y}} = \mathbf{y} - \bar{y}\mathbf{1}$ is the demeaned y data.¹ The intercept, β_1 can be estimated by

$$\hat{\beta}_1 = \bar{y} - \tilde{\boldsymbol{\beta}}' \bar{\mathbf{X}}$$

and so

$$\hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}} = \begin{bmatrix} \hat{\beta}_1 & \tilde{\boldsymbol{\beta}}' \end{bmatrix}'$$

Intuition: This problem highlights the strong link between the covariance of \mathbf{x}_i and y_i when estimating linear regression coefficient. Aside from the intercept, all of the coefficients are normalized (by $\hat{\mathbf{S}}_{xx}^{-1}$) covariances (\mathbf{s}_{xy}). Moreover, tests that β s are zero can be directly interpreted as tests that covariances are zero.

¹ $\mathbf{1}$ is a n by 1 vector of 1s.

(b) **Estimate s , the standard error of the regression** By the identities used to derive the R^2 ,

$$TSS = SSE + RSS$$

and so

$$SSE = TSS - RSS = n \left(\hat{s}_{yy} - \tilde{\boldsymbol{\beta}}' \hat{\mathbf{S}}_{xx} \tilde{\boldsymbol{\beta}} \right)$$

and thus the standard error of the regression is

$$\hat{s} = \sqrt{\frac{SSE}{n - k}} = \sqrt{\frac{n \left(\hat{s}_{yy} - \tilde{\boldsymbol{\beta}}' \hat{\mathbf{S}}_{xx} \tilde{\boldsymbol{\beta}} \right)}{n - k}}$$

2.9 Let y_i and x_i conform to the small sample assumptions and let $y_i = \beta_1 + \beta_2 x_i + \epsilon_i$. Define another estimator

$$\check{\beta}_2 = \frac{\bar{y}_H - \bar{y}_L}{\bar{x}_H - \bar{x}_L}$$

where \bar{x}_H is the average value of x_i given $x_i > \text{median}(\mathbf{x})$, and \bar{y}_H is the average value of y_i for n such that $x_i > \text{median}(\mathbf{x})$. \bar{x}_L is the average value of x_i given $x_i \leq \text{median}(\mathbf{x})$, and \bar{y}_L is the average value of y_i for n such that $x_i \leq \text{median}(\mathbf{x})$ (both \bar{x} and \bar{y} depend on the order of x_i , and **not** y_i). For example suppose the x_i were ordered such that $x_1 < x_2 < x_3 < \dots < x_n$ and n is even. Then,

$$\bar{x}_L = \frac{2}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n/2} x_i$$

and

$$\bar{x}_H = \frac{2}{n} \sum_{i=n/2+1}^n x_i$$

(i) Is $\check{\beta}_2$ unbiased, conditional on \mathbf{X} ?

$$\begin{aligned} \check{\beta}_2 &= \frac{\bar{y}_H - \bar{y}_L}{\bar{x}_H - \bar{x}_L} \\ &= \frac{\beta_1 + \beta_2 \bar{x}_H + \bar{\epsilon}_H - \beta_1 - \beta_2 \bar{x}_L - \bar{\epsilon}_L}{\bar{x}_H - \bar{x}_L} \\ &= \frac{\beta_2 (\bar{x}_H - \bar{x}_L) + \bar{\epsilon}_H - \bar{\epsilon}_L}{\bar{x}_H - \bar{x}_L} \\ &= \frac{\beta_2 (\bar{x}_H - \bar{x}_L)}{\bar{x}_H - \bar{x}_L} + \frac{\bar{\epsilon}_H - \bar{\epsilon}_L}{\bar{x}_H - \bar{x}_L} \\ &= \beta_2 + \frac{\bar{\epsilon}_H - \bar{\epsilon}_L}{\bar{x}_H - \bar{x}_L} \\ E[\check{\beta}_2 | \mathbf{X}] &= \beta_2 + E \left[\frac{\bar{\epsilon}_H - \bar{\epsilon}_L}{\bar{x}_H - \bar{x}_L} \middle| \mathbf{X} \right] \\ &= \beta_2 + \frac{E[\bar{\epsilon}_H | \mathbf{X}] - E[\bar{\epsilon}_L | \mathbf{X}]}{\bar{x}_H - \bar{x}_L} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \beta_2 + \frac{0 - 0}{\bar{x}_H - \bar{x}_L} \\
&= \beta_2
\end{aligned}$$

so it is unbiased.

- (ii) **Is $\check{\beta}_2$ consistent? Are any additional assumptions needed beyond those of the small sample framework?**

$$\begin{aligned}
\text{plim}\check{\beta}_2 - \beta_2 &= \text{plim} \frac{\bar{\epsilon}_H - \bar{\epsilon}_L}{\bar{x}_H - \bar{x}_L} \\
&= \frac{\text{plim}\bar{\epsilon}_H - \text{plim}\bar{\epsilon}_L}{\text{plim}\bar{x}_H - \text{plim}\bar{x}_L} \\
&= \frac{0 - 0}{\text{plim}\bar{x}_H - \text{plim}\bar{x}_L} \\
&= 0
\end{aligned}$$

as long as $\text{plim}\bar{x}_H - \text{plim}\bar{x}_L \neq 0$ which requires the x data to have some dispersion asymptotically, an additional assumption to the small sample framework. $\text{plim}\bar{\epsilon}_H = \text{plim}\bar{\epsilon}_L = 0$ since the ϵ s are i.i.d. mean zero normals, and hence averages follow a law of large numbers.

- (iii) **What is the variance of $\check{\beta}_2$, conditional on \mathbf{X} ?**

$$\begin{aligned}
\text{E} \left[(\check{\beta}_2 - \beta_2)^2 \mid \mathbf{X} \right] &= \text{E} \left[\left(\frac{\bar{\epsilon}_H - \bar{\epsilon}_L}{\bar{x}_H - \bar{x}_L} \right)^2 \mid \mathbf{X} \right] \\
&= \left(\frac{1}{\bar{x}_H - \bar{x}_L} \right)^2 \text{E} \left[\bar{\epsilon}_H^2 + \bar{\epsilon}_L^2 - 2\bar{\epsilon}_H\bar{\epsilon}_L \mid \mathbf{X} \right] \\
&= \left(\frac{1}{\bar{x}_H - \bar{x}_L} \right)^2 \left[\text{E} \left[\bar{\epsilon}_H^2 \mid \mathbf{X} \right] + \text{E} \left[\bar{\epsilon}_L^2 \mid \mathbf{X} \right] - \text{E} \left[2\bar{\epsilon}_H\bar{\epsilon}_L \mid \mathbf{X} \right] \right] \\
&= \left(\frac{1}{\bar{x}_H - \bar{x}_L} \right)^2 \left[\frac{2\sigma^2}{n} + \frac{2\sigma^2}{n} + 0 \right] \\
&= \frac{4\sigma^2}{n(\bar{x}_H - \bar{x}_L)^2}
\end{aligned}$$

This provides an alternative method to show consistency since as long as $\bar{x}_H - \bar{x}_L$ does not converge to 0, the variance will converge to 0. Combining this result with the unbiasedness of $\check{\beta}$ shows that the estimator converge in mean square which implies consistency.